



1 Although the Seskos are not addressing the cost of the abatement at this time, they have indicated  
2 that they will sue the City at the end of this process. The City does not want to have to justify  
3 unnecessary costs such as the cost entailed by a 30-day stay.

4 It is important to the citizens that this action not be delayed; since the beginning of this action  
5 many citizens have commented that this clean up has been long overdue.

6 The Seskos have known since last December that the City was going to hire contractors to  
7 conduct a clean up on their property.

8 The Seskos have been aware since September 13, 2001 that the City contractor would begin the  
9 clean-up action on this property late this year.

10 The Seskos were also aware of the date on which the City Council awarded a contract to Buckley  
11 Recycling, Inc. and attended that City Council meeting.

12 The Seskos should not have waited until after the commencement of this clean up to request a  
13 continuance.

14 The Seskos have indicated that they want a stay in the event that the new administration will  
15 abandon this clean-up action. This is a futile hope; the new administration would be without authority to  
16 abandon this clean-up action; there is a judgment in place requiring an abatement to occur and they City  
17 Council has awarded a contract to Buckley Recycling, Inc. to do the work.

18 The public interest demands that the clean up not be delayed. Since the commencement of this  
19 clean up I have had many residents from the area comment that this clean up was long overdue, it is  
20 imperative that it not be further delayed. See the attached editorial from the *Bremerton Sun*.

21 The City hopes that no stay will be granted; the only effects the delay would be is to increase the  
22 clean-up costs and to undermine public confidence in the code enforcement process.

23 I am the Chief Code Enforcement Officer for the City of Bremerton. It makes my job difficult if  
24 people believe that they can delay or ignore compliance deadlines.

25 Executed at \_\_\_\_\_, Washington this \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2001

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE

Page - 2

LAW OFFICE OF  
JANE RYAN KOLER, P.L.L.C.  
620 KIRKLAND WAY, SUITE 200  
P.O. BOX 908  
KIRKLAND, WASHINGTON 98083-0908  
PHONE: (425) 822-9208 FAX (425) 828-0908

BREMERTON-000245

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

Janet Lunceford